

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES**

**ARCHITECTURAL/ENGINEERING SERVICES  
ENGINE COMPANY NUMBER 22**

**Solicitation #:DCAM-13-AE-0141**

**Addendum No. 4  
Issued: May 1, 2013**

---

This Addendum Number 04 is issued by e-mail on May 1, 2013. Except as modified hereby, the Request for Proposals (“RFP”) remains unmodified.

**Item #1**

**Requests for Information:** Below is a list of questions and the Department’s responses.

1. With respect to the Addendum 3 statement that indicates permit documents are due no later than 31 May 2014, is DGS still anticipating the need for fast track activities such as the raze permit and early foundation to grade permit as indicated in the RFP or is DGS looking to the A/E for a recommended a schedule to achieve the required milestones to permit document completion as well as any other tasks required prior to substantial completion on the established date of 30 September 2015? **Response: Per Section 1.7 of the Form of Contract, the Architect’s funding should be sufficient to include at least three (3) separate design packages: (i) a demolition/raze package; (ii) a foundation to grade package; and (iii) a single package for the balance of the work. Depending on the timing of the land transfer, the foundation to grade package may not be necessary.**
2. Given the recently released Addendum 3, which changed the project delivery method from Design-Build to CM at Risk, does the requirement to show 3 Design-Build previous projects still stand? What about the evaluation points given to experience with a fast-track project? **Response: Offerors should demonstrate at least three (3) projects where the Offeror served as the architect on a project that used a construction management at risk delivery method. With respect to the Design-Build/Fast Track Experience evaluation factor, such factor is revised as follows:**

**D.4.5 Preliminary Design Schedule (15 points)**

Offerors should submit with their Management Plan a schedule that shows the anticipated manner in which the design will be prepared and how it relates to the proposed construction schedule for this project. The schedule should show sufficient level of detail so as to demonstrate the Offeror’s understanding of the Project and the key issues related to the Project. This element of the evaluation is worth up to fifteen (15) points.

**Item #3**

**The bid date remains unchanged.** Proposals are due by **May 14, 2013 at 2:00 pm EDT**. Proposals that are hand-delivered should be delivered to the attention of: Danyel Riley, Contract Specialist, **Frank D. Reeves Center, 2000 14<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, 8<sup>th</sup> floor, Washington, DC 20009.**

- End of Addendum No. 4 -